

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/080,089 | 02/21/2002 | William D. McKay | RBD-100-A | 8609 |
| 7 | 7590 03/24/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| William M. Hanlon | | | CHIN, RANDALL E | |
| Young & Basile, P.C. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Suite 624 3001 West Big Beaver Road | | | 1744 | |
| Troy, MI 480 | 084 | | DATE MAILED: 03/24/200 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 5K | | | |
|---|---|--|------------|--|--|--|
| ŷ• | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/080,089 | MCKAY, WILLIAM D. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Randall Chin | 1744 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | ppears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | ; | | | |
| Period for Reply | N V IC CET TO EVDIDE 4 N | MONITU(S) EDOM | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _• | | | | | |
| • | nis action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | vance except for formal ma | iters, prosecution as to the mer | its is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | r <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C. | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application | on. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | • | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attache | ed Office Action or form PTO-15 | 52. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document | | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority docume | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the p | | n received in this National Stag | j e | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a l | ist of the certified copies no | t received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ | 5. T | o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) 🔲 Other: _ | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/080,089

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1) Figs. 1-3, 7-10, 2) Figs. 4, 6, 11, 3) Fig. 5, 4) Fig. 12A, and 5) Fig. 12B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/080,089

Art Unit: 1744

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the
Examiner should be directed to Randall Chin whose telephone number is
(571) 272-1270. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday
and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden, can be reached at (571) 272-1281. The number for Technology Center 1700 is (571) 272-1700.

The central fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/080,089

Art Unit: 1744

R. Chin

Randall Chin

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744